

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAM BHAROSE,

Petitioner,

No. MISC S-04-0305 WBS JFM PS

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

On August 26, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.


////

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 26, 2005, are adopted in full;  
3 2. Respondent's July 5, 2005 motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter

4 jurisdiction be granted and this action be, and the same hereby is, dismissed.

5 DATED: September 22, 2005

6   
7 WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9 /bharose.805  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26